

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

OO4 Shinsuke Sakamoto	250061US2S DIV	3977	
22850 7590 05/19/2005 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET		EXAMINER	
		ORTIZ, EDGARDO	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			
o L	os/19/2005 CLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C	05/19/2005 EXAM CLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. ORTIZ, E	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H'
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/796,962	SAKAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edgardo Ortiz	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matter	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	ndrawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement. miner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyon	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docur 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	م المعادد الم	Umman (PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/04. 	B) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: after "placed" the word "and" should be included for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 8, line 22 the number "10" should be substituted for number "15". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to Claim 1, the claim contains the limitation "the number of the second contact patterns being smaller than that of the first standard cells." However, the claim also discloses that the first standard cells have no contact pattern. Thus, claim 1 is unclear and indefinite since the claim contains limitations, which are inconsistent when interpreted as a whole.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Ž.

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumagai (U.S. Patent No. 6,057,568), as best the examiner is able to ascertain the claimed invention. With regard to Claim 1, Kumagai discloses on figure 7A, a plurality of first standard cells (401, 402) that form a cell array, each of the first standard cells having no contact pattern as clearly shown on the figure wherein no contacts are formed on first standard cells (401, 402); a second standard cell (403) that forms a cell array in combination with the first standard cells (401, 402), the second standard cells having first contact patterns which comprise the contacts (415) to the active regions (406a-406c and 407a-407c); and second contact patterns (also labeled 415) within the cell array and which comprise the contacts to the gate regions (408a-408b and 409a-408b), the number of the second contact patterns being smaller than that of the first contact patterns, since there are six first contact patterns and only four second contact patterns.

With regard to Claim 2, Kumagai discloses second contact patterns within the cell array and which comprise the contacts (415) to the gate regions (408a-408b and 409a-408b), wherein the second contact patterns (415) are placed and distributed uniformly within the cell array. See figure 7A.

With regard to Claim 4, Kumagai discloses contacts (415) to the gate regions (408a-408b and 409a-409b), placed in the cell array where current density is distributed uniformly since the basic cells are form as an electrically closed loop (column 3, lines 65-67 and column 4, lines 1-6).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,962

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagai (U.S. Patent No. 6,057,568). With regard to Claim 3, figure 7A of Kumagai essentially discloses the claimed invention, but fails to disclose the claimed well region to which the second contact patterns are connected. However, figure 5A of the cited reference discloses P-well and N-well contact diffusion regions (204, 205) to which contacts (215) are connected to. Therefore, it would have been obvious to someone with ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the structure of figure 7A to include the claimed well region to which the second contact patterns are connected, as suggested by figure 5A, in order to provide well regions to which a power supply potential can be applied to (column 6, lines 29-34).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,962

Art Unit: 2815

7. Claims 7-8 are allowed. The cited prior art fails to disclose, teach or suggest, the claimed

Page 5

semiconductor integrated circuit device as claimed on independent claim 7 and its dependent

claim 8.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Edgardo Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1735. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/12/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER